COMMON COUNCIL

Regular Meeting
January 17, 2006

Mayor Robert Raphael presided at the regular meeting of the Common Council held at 7:00 PM on January 17, 2006, in the Common Council Chambers at One Court Plaza.

Present:
Mayor Robert Raphael
Ald. A. Anthony Abraham
Ald. Terry Bresina
Ald. Suzanne Williams
Ald. Walter Schermerhorn
Ald. Joseph Maiurano
Ald. Robert Jeffery
Supervisor James McNeil
Supervisor Linda Natoli

Also:
Clerk/Director of Finance William Roberts
H.R. Director Deborah DeForest
Acting Police Chief Murray Roach
Carl Ivarson, DPW Superintendent
Code Enforcement Officer Jason Lawrence
Patrick Flanagan, City Attorney
Jeff Genung, Media Representative
Deputy Clerk Darlene Colaso

Approval of Minutes

Approval of the regular meeting of December 20, 2005, the organizational meeting of January 3, 2006, and the work session of January 10, 2006 were postponed: Those minutes will be made available for approval prior to our next meeting.

Public Hearing (none)

Resolution – Appointing Fire Captain

RESOLUTION #01 – 2006: APPOINTING FIRE CAPTAIN

The Mayor introduced the following and Ald. Maiurano moved its adoption:

WHEREAS, a vacancy exists in the position of Fire Captain; and

WHEREAS, the Finance/Personnel Committee has made certain recommendations; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that

1. Michael T. Ford of Norwich, NY, be and hereby is appointed to the full-time position of Fire Captain for the City of Norwich, effective January 16, 2006; and
2. this resolution shall be subject to such action as may be necessary by the Norwich Civil Service Commission.

Seconded by Ald. Williams, and duly adopted, 6-0, on a roll call vote.

Swearing-In and Presentation

Director of Finance/City Clerk, W. Roberts, performed the swearing-in portion of the appointing of the new Fire Captain, Mike Ford, with Fire Chief John Tighe and Former Volunteer Fire Chief Pat Ford presenting the Captain's badge. M. Ford's daughter, Taylor, pinned the Captain's badge on her father with the assistance of Former Volunteer Fire Chief, Pat Ford.

Open Forum

Patrick McNeil, Norwich, commented that he was glad to see the council seeking to fill the Community Development Specialist position. He stated that this was long needed within the City. He added that we've been without a Community Development person for such a long period of time. He believes it was two years ago that he was asking about a business recruitment committee that was designed through the EDZ Coordinator or LDC at the Chamber. This was years ago and it never really got off the ground. They did a small little study and then just kind of fell apart. He asked years ago where that information was and requested that the City direct the BID to pick up the ball where it was dropped. He got a phone call saying yes we remember there was a committee, and that was about as far as he got.

The Mayor asked if he was speaking about economic recruitment and P. McNeil responded that he was referring specifically to a Retail Recruitment Committee (to get store fronts filled, to procure retailers downtown) and they also had a Business Retention Committee (to retain the business that we have here). He is very concerned about the retailers downtown and remarked that someone has to do something about the vacant stores. Contact the store owners, find out what's vacant, find out what kind of community development money is available for start-up businesses. I don't know where or what kind of funds the City has available, if there are any grants out there – any assistance whatsoever to help get these storefronts filled. Find out what the rents are, what these people are looking to rent the spaces for, see if the City can somehow assist in the start-up costs, because that's the biggest cost (starting up).

He noted that we have a beautiful downtown and said he doesn't know how many thousands of dollars we spent making downtown beautiful. Now let's focus on dollars that can get the businesses down there and maintained in our beautiful historic downtown.

The Mayor asked if this information is going to be at the EDZ. Pat mentioned that the BID Director should have that information. He stated that he always thought that part of the BID was getting and keeping businesses downtown – now I find out that's not their goal, their goal is beautifying. Before we go and spend millions of dollars beautifying our parks, or the Courthouse, I think we need to direct our funds to better uses to making a more viable downtown.

Bids

- **Sale of Surplus Equipment**

  The Mayor noted that while there were no bids this month, the sale of surplus equipment through sealed bids, which was previously authorized, was complete.

Correspondence

- **Curb Cuts at 17 Maple Street (2)**

  Ald. Abraham reminded the Council that at the last work session there was a request for curb cuts at a residence, which borders Maple Street and Hayes Street parking lot. Ald. Abraham stated that while he is recommending the curb cut on Maple, he is not recommending the curb cut on the Hayes Street parking lot side, as it would deplete parking spaces from the Hayes Street parking lot. His final recommendation is to approve curb cut one and deny curb cut two.

  Ald. Williams **moved** to approve curb cut one, and deny curb cut two, at 17 Maple Street, seconded by Ald. Bresina, and duly adopted ayes all.

Department, Board and Commission Reports

- Historian monthly report for December 2005 and Annual Report 2005
- Public Works/Water System monthly report for December 2005
- Records Management monthly report for December 2005 and Annual Report 2005
- Youth Bureau Annual Report 2005 (in lieu of monthly report for December, 2005)
- Water Commission minutes (no Water Commission meeting in December 2005)
- Youth Board minutes of December 13, 2005

Ald. Maiurano **moved** to receive and file the Department, Board, and Commission Reports, seconded by Ald. Williams, and duly adopted ayes all.

Ward and Committee Reports

- Finance / Personnel Committee meeting of January 4, 2006 (These minutes will be made available for approval prior to our next meeting.)
- Public Safety Committee meeting (no meeting in December 2005)
- Public Works Committee meeting (no meeting in December 2005)

Ward One – Ald. Abraham reported that outside the issues already addressed, he had nothing to report.

Ward Two – Ald. Bresina reported that he had some minor problems, which he's referring to the appropriate committees.

Ward Three – Ald. Williams reported that she had a constituent contact her about dumping in Norwich Highlands, as they thought it was City-owned property. Once she determined the property was privately owned, she contacted that person who she in turn, asked to contact the owner.

Ward Four – Ald Schermerhorn had nothing to report at this time.

Ward Five – Ald. Maiurano reported that the issues he had, had already been follow-up on with the appropriate committee.

Ward Six – Ald. Jeffrey also reported that any issues he needed addressed, had already been reported to the appropriate committee.

Ald. Maiurano **moved** to receive and file the ward reports. Seconded by Ald. Williams and duly adopted, ayes all.

Old Business: None

New Business

Appointment to Zoning Board

The Mayor announced the appointment of Deb Sylstra, 127 No. Broad Street, to a three-year term expiring on December 31, 2008, as an alternate member to the Zoning Board.

Motion

Assign Salary for Planning & Community Development Specialist/ Coordinator and Advertise to Fill Position

Ald. Maiurano **moved** to assign the Salary Grade of 24 to the position of Planning & Community Development Specialist/Coordinator, for which a job description has been approved by the Finance/Personnel Committee, and to advertise to fill the position. Seconded by Ald. Jeffrey and duly adopted, 6-0, on a roll call vote.

Prior to the vote, Ald. Williams stated she wasn't really sure what the salary actually was. D. DeForest responded that while the salary grade is set at 24 right now, the steps (levels) will depend on the interviewee and their experience. D. DeForest replied that the salary at a Step 2 would be approximately $42,400, which again, was dependant on the amount of experience of the particular candidate. Ald. Williams asked for minimum salary grade steps. D. DeForest replied the starting step (1) would be approximately $36,000 yet reiterated that with the amount of experience the City was requiring (and the fact that the Finance/Personnel Committee eventually hoped to add grant responsibilities to this position) we would likely never get an interested candidate at $36,000. Ald. Williams asked D. DeForest to confirm that the final salary would indeed depend upon the experience of the candidate. D. DeForest confirmed, and again noted based on the higher level of experience, the projected amount is in the $42,000 range. The Mayor stated that once this was approved, it would free HR up to advertise for the position. D. DeForest then said that because this person was going to be a liaison to both you (Mayor) and the Committee, asked whether or not the Council would be interested in being involved in the final interviews. Ald. Williams said that she saw a job description some time ago and wanted to know whether or not that had changed. D. DeForest replied that it had changed somewhat with one of the changes being strengthening the grant-writing responsibilities. Ald. Williams wanted to know who this new person's supervisor would be. D. DeForest stated that he/she would report to the HR Director versus the Finance Director.

Resolutions

RESOLUTION #02 - 2006: AMENDING RULES OF PROCEDURE AND PROTOCOL FOR 2006

Ald. Maiurano introduced the following and moved for its adoption:

WHEREAS, the Common Council established its Rules of Procedure and Protocol for 2005 on January 25, 2005 and amended such rules on March 15, 2005 with formal adoption on April 19, 2005; and

WHEREAS, further amendments to such rules for 2006 were presented to the Common Council on January 10, 2006; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Rules of Procedure and Protocol be and hereby are amended for 2006 as follows:

Section 4. Executive Sessions.

Executive sessions shall be held in accordance with the NYS Public Officers Law, Section 105. By a motion made during an open meeting that is carried by a majority vote of the full Council and identifies the general area or areas of the subject or subjects to be considered, the Council may recess to Executive Session under the circumstances prescribed in the New York State Open Meetings Law. The Council may also hold an Executive Session to receive confidential advice from the City Attorney under the attorney-client privilege.

<u>Aldermen shall inform the Mayor beforehand of the nature of the subject to be discussed in executive session and the Mayor will make an appropriate determination and instruct the City Clerk accordingly</u>.

Section 5. Agendas.

a) <u>Agenda items, other than routine correspondence, which require Council action shall be routed through an appropriate committee, or through the Mayor in those instances requiring urgent attention</u>.

b) The agenda shall be prepared by the Clerk at the direction of the Mayor. ~~The Mayor or any Alderman may have an item placed on the agenda.~~ Items for the agenda shall be given to the Clerk by the end of the day on the Friday before the work session. ~~, however, items may be placed on the agenda at anytime, including during the meeting.~~

c) The preliminary agenda shall be prepared by noon on the day of the work session. The regular agenda and pertinent materials shall be distributed by noon on the Friday prior to the meeting. If necessary a supplemental agenda shall be distributed at the beginning of the meeting.

Section 7. Minutes.

Minutes shall be taken by the Clerk. Minutes shall consist of a record or summary of all motions, proposals, resolutions and any other matter formally voted upon and the vote thereon. Minutes shall be taken at executive session of any action that is taken by formal vote which shall consist of a record or summary of the final determination of such action, and the date and vote, thereon; provided, however, that such summary need not include any matter which is not required to be made public by the NYS Freedom of Information Law.)

a) Minutes shall also include the following:

- Name of the Board (Common Council);
- Date, place and time of the meeting;
- Notation of presence or absence of Council members and time of arrival or departure if different from time of call to order and adjournment;
- Name and title of other city officials and employees present and approximate number of attendees;
- Record of communications presented to the Board;
- Record of reports made by the Council or other City personnel;
- Time of Adjournment;
- Signature of Clerk or person who took the minutes if not the Clerk.

b) Minutes shall not ~~contain a summary of~~ be required to provide a detail of the discussion leading to action taken or include verbatim comments unless a majority of the Council shall ~~resolve~~ move to have the Clerk do so. Otherwise the minutes shall normally include a reasonable summary to reflect key points of discussion.

d) If a Council member desires for a comment to be included in the minutes, it is his or her responsibility to indicated that the statement is "for the record" before making the comments.

e) Minutes shall be approved at the next Council meeting. Amendments to the minutes shall require Council approval. Unless a reading of the minutes is requested by a member of the Council, minutes may be approved without reading if the Clerk has previously furnished a copy of such to each member.

III. RULES OF CONDUCT

Section 11. Guidelines for Public Comment.

- The public shall be allowed to speak during the Open Forum period of the meeting or at such other time by being placed on the agenda by one of the following methods:

A. Written request to the City Clerk stating intent, or
A. Requesting placement on agenda by their Alderman, or
B. Serving notice of intent at the previous work session.

- No person, except City officials, their representatives and newspaper reporters shall be permitted within the rail in front of the Council Chambers without express consent of the Council.
- Speakers must step to the front of the room and be recognized by the presiding officer.
- Speakers must give their name, address and organization, if any.
- Speakers must limit their remarks to five minutes on a given topic.
- Speakers may not yield any remaining time they may have to another speaker and each speaker has only one opportunity per meeting to speak.
- Any speaker who engages in electioneering, campaigning or similar action shall be deemed to be out of order.
- All remarks shall be addressed to the Council as a body and not to any Council member or City staff person.
- Interested parties or their representatives may address the Council by written communications. Written communications shall be delivered to the Clerk or their designee.

Section 12. Authority of the Chair.

Subject to appeal to the full Council, the Mayor shall have the authority to prevent the misuse of motions, or the abuse of any privilege, or obstruction of the business of the Council by ruling any such matter out of order. In so ruling, the Mayor shall be courteous and fair and should presume that the moving party is acting in good faith.

A Council member who fails to go through the proper committee or the Mayor prior to bringing up an item for Council discussion or action will be deemed to be obstructing the rules of procedure and the Mayor shall have the authority to prevent such misuse, by ordering the person to follow the proper procedural channels before continuing.

NOTE: ~~**Strikethough**~~ words are deleted; **underlined** words are added.

The motion was seconded by Ald. Abraham and duly adopted, 5–1 (Ald. Williams) on a roll call vote.

Prior to the vote, Ald. Williams questioned why parts of Section 5.b. ("The Mayor or any Alderman may have an item placed on the agenda." and "however, items may be placed on the agenda at anytime, including during the meeting.") were deleted. She stated this (wording) has been fine for years and would like to know why they've been taken out. Ald. Williams added that it's recommended by NYCOM and it's also in their rules, so why?

The Mayor stated that it was simple: In the past two years, if he read his newspapers correctly, we've been charged with being somewhat dysfunctional. Some of that fell upon the Mayor's shoulders. He has been told, as the Mayor, he needs to take charge of his Council meetings. It's kind of hard for the Mayor to come to the meetings prepared, when he doesn't know an item is going to be dropped in his lap. It's kind of hard to go into executive session, when the Mayor doesn't even know why we're adjourning to executive session. To me, that's truly dysfunctional. He used the analogy about a carpenter, who is going to do his job properly, he needs certain tools, a good hammer/ saw, etc. He then correlated that if he was going to do his job as Mayor, he needed these rules and procedures to help him do his job. The emphasis is now, with new council people, to consistently run things through a committee. Once an agenda is established he said we're going to come here to discuss that agenda & we're not going to be going off in some other direction that we're not prepared to discuss - stating it wasn't productive. That's why the changes were made.

Ald. Williams responded that we have a weak Mayor/strong Council form of government and she thinks that he is not showing leadership by taking this away from the Aldermen. She added that the way to show leadership is exactly what he (the Mayor) did last night, by calling all the people and getting the groups together to discuss things. Furthermore, last year when you wanted things on the agenda, you had us put it on a form (distributed last year), and we could write on that form what we wanted placed on that agenda; it only lasted a couple of months, before it was gone. I wish you would do something like that again, rather than take our rights away. The Mayor responded that no rights have been taken away. If something belongs in a public works committee, then that's who needs to address it first. The Mayor stressed that aldermen were still capable of adding items to the agenda, however there will now be a certain procedure for doing that. He stated that while some of the language in part "b" of Section 5 was eliminated, Section 5 part "a" was added to address it ("Agenda items, other than routine correspondence, which require Council action shall be routed through an appropriate committee, or through the Mayor in those instances requiring urgent attention."). The Mayor reiterated that the Aldermen's job is to run things through the proper committee. Ald. Williams feels that Aldermen's rights are being limited. Ald. Maiurano replied that the Alderman's rights had not changed, and that this was simply revised in order to facilitate more effective communication. He also added that we (Council) need to work together to funnel issues though the committee system and through the Mayor to communicate better. Ald. Williams said she disagrees. She stated that this is the way it has been for years and year and that it is also recommended by NYCOM. The Mayor retorted that NYCOM doesn't dictate to us, NYCOM makes suggestions. We set the rules. Ald. Williams said she doesn't know why Norwich has to be different. The Mayor responded that Norwich sure has been different the past two years (alluding to the earlier dysfunctional discussion).

The Mayor added that he is trying to avoid anyone labeling the current Council as dysfunctional. He said that Council has a job and he has a job. He noted that under our City of Norwich Charter, Title IV, Section 55 (in reference to "The Common Council"): "the legislature and governing power of the city shall be vested in the Common Council," stating that governing power means being responsible for making and enforcing rules and laws. He also added that under Title IV, Section 63 "Powers and Duties" of the Charter of the City of Norwich, it clearly states that the "powers of the Common Council shall be legislative only." This means, the powers of the Common Council shall be limited to lawmaking. However, he noted moreover, Title III, Section 36 ("General powers and duties of the Mayor") of the Charter of the City of Norwich, clearly states "The Mayor shall be the (CEO or) chief executive officer of the city…" He said that he is the one overall responsible for the day-to-day decisions. He clarified by saying that he is responsible for these changes, and that he would live up to that responsibility. He also added that he was the one accountable for the Common Council meetings, but there were a lot of things that happened that were far beyond his control. I'm saying that if I get these rules, should you choose to approve them, and things continue to be haphazard, then I also take the responsibility – the blame would rest on my shoulders. He made the decision that this year was going to be different. This year is going to be better, so that's why you see some of the changes there.

RESOLUTION #03 - 2006. APPOINTMENT OF CLERK IN FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Ald. Maiurano introduced the following and moved its adoption:

WHEREAS, a vacancy exists in the position of Clerk in the Finance Department; and

WHEREAS, the Finance / Personnel Committee has made certain recommendations; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that Lindsey S. Young of Sherburne, NY, be and hereby is permanently appointed to the position of Clerk in the Finance Department, effective January 16, 2006; and, further be it

RESOLVED, this resolution shall be subject to such action as may be necessary by the Norwich Civil Service Commission.

Seconded by Ald. Abraham and duly adopted, 6-0 on a roll call vote.

RESOLUTION #04 - 2006. AUTHORIZING APPROPRIATION OF 2005 UNBUDGETED DONATION

Ald. Jeffrey introduced the following and moved its adoption:

WHEREAS, the City of Norwich has received a donation from the Greater Norwich Foundation for Records Management to be appropriated specifically for the microfilming of records; and

WHEREAS, the Finance/Personnel Committee has made certain recommendations; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Director of Finance be and hereby is authorized to appropriate the donated funds and make the following supplemental appropriation in the 2005 General Fund Budget:

From:

Account Number	Name	Amount
A510	Estimated Revenues – Donations	$ 5,000

To:

Account Number	Name	Amount
A960	Appropriations – Records Management Contracted Services	$ 5,000

Seconded by Ald. Williams and duly adopted, 6-0 on a roll call vote.

RESOLUTION #05 - 2006. AUTHORIZING TRANSFER FOR SOCIAL SECURITY AND MEDICARE COSTS

Ald. Maiurano introduced the following and moved its adoption:

WHEREAS, supplemental payments related to 2005 employee retirements and increased overtime expense have increased the corresponding employer contribution to Social Security and Medicare, requiring additional funds to cover the costs; and

WHEREAS, the Finance / Personnel Committee has made certain recommendations; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Director of Finance be and hereby is authorized to make the following transfer in the 2005 General Fund Budget:

From:

Account Number	Name	Amount
A9060.8	Employee Benefits, Hospital & Medical insurance	$20,000.00

To:

Account Number	Name	Amount
A9030.8	Employee Benefits, Social Security	$20,000.00

Seconded by Ald. Jeffrey and duly adopted, 6-0, on a roll call vote.

RESOLUTION #06 - 2006. AUTHORIZING SUPPLEMENTAL 2005 BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS FOR STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM PAYMENTS

Ald. Maiurano introduced the following and moved its adoption:

WHEREAS, the original 2005 operating budgets were based on the expectation that payments to the State Retirement System for the City's 2005 Fiscal Year would be made according to the available option due in February, 2006; and

WHEREAS, the original 2005 operating budgets therefore did not schedule any amounts for such payments; and

WHEREAS, projected unreserved unappropriated fund balances as of December 31, 2005 for the General, Water, and Wastewater Funds were determined by the Director of Finance to be sufficient for such payments to be made in December, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the December, 2005 payments result in an aggregate savings over the February, 2006 option in the amount of $4,902; and

WHEREAS, the Finance/Personnel Committee has made certain recommendations; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Director of Finance be and hereby is authorized to make the following supplemental appropriation in the 2005 General, Water, and Wastewater Funds Budgets:

From:

Account Number	Name	Amount
A909	Unreserved Unappropriated Fund Balance – General Fund	$308,908.00
F909	Unreserved Unappropriated Fund Balance – Water Fund	$ 21,131.00
G909	Unreserved Unappropriated Fund Balance – Wastewater Fund	$ 18,490.00

To:

Account Number	Name	Amount
A9010.8	General Fund – State Retirement Regular Employees	$ 92,448.00
A9015.8	General Fund – State Retirement Fire & Police Employees	$216,460.00
F9010.8	Water Fund – State Retirement	$ 21,131.00
G9010.8	Wastewater Fund – State Retirement	$ 18,490.00

Seconded by Ald. Schermerhorn and duly adopted, 6-0 on a roll call vote.

Prior to the vote, Ald. Williams needed a moment to clarify the numbers. She inquired to W. Roberts as to whether the amounts as stated included catch-up payments. W. Roberts replied that they did: They include a current amount due this year plus amortization of prior years. Ald. Williams then asked W. Roberts if he could put a copy of the breakdown in her box. Ald. Williams needed clarification and if we were making the catch-up payment in January 2006 and W. Roberts replied that we are paying in December, not January. We had an option to pay in February versus December, but we were able to pay in December and have done so. Ald. Williams then clarified that in 2006, the City would be making the payment in December. W. Roberts confirmed that at the end of the year, we will make the final determination on our ability to do that. Ald. Schermerhorn assisted with further clarification for Ald. Williams. The Mayor added that by paying it in December versus February, we saved over $4,000. W. Roberts stated the amount as $4,900.

RESOLUTION #07 - 2006. AUTHORIZING CONTRACT FOR CODIFICATION SERVICES

Ald. Maiurano introduced the following and moved its adoption:

WHEREAS, a comprehensive and complete compilation of the Charter and Code of the City of Norwich ("City") has not been completed and published since 1967; and

WHEREAS, the legislative activity of the City since 1967 has included numerous laws and ordinances which have involved many amendments and revisions to its Charter and Code; and

WHEREAS, the City is in need of professional codification services which will comprehensively provide both printed and electronic access to all current elements of its Charter and Code for efficient and effective administration; and

WHEREAS, the Common Council has reviewed a proposal from General Code Publishers Corporation for such services under a base project implementation and completion in the amount of $19,540, plus proposed fees for future annual maintenance and miscellaneous options; and

WHEREAS, funds are required to cover the General Fund 2006 portion of the cost of performing the codification services under the base project amount; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Mayor is authorized, under the direction of the City Attorney, to sign a contract with General Code Publishers Corporation of Rochester, New York, for the base project, at a cost not to exceed $19,540.00 and, further, be it

RESOLVED, that the Director of Finance be and hereby is authorized to make the following transfers in the 2006 General Fund Budget:

From:

Account Number	Name	Amount
A1990.4	Contingency	$ 1,000.00

To:

Account Number	Name	Amount
A1680.4020	Management Information Systems - Contracted Services	$ 1,000.00

Seconded by Ald. Jeffrey and duly adopted, 6-0 on a roll call vote.

Prior to the vote, Ald. Williams asked W. Roberts if this was a down-payment to General Codes. W. Roberts stated the transfer represents the supplemental amount that's needed to the original budget line, which was $2,500 for information systems. The General Fund 2006 share is $3,500, and there's already a $2,500 balance there, so we needed the additional $1,000 to transfer. He added that the total project cost will be spread over 2006 and 2007 and among the General, Water, and Wastewater Funds.

Payment of Bills

Ald. Maiurano moved to pay the bills after proper audit and certification as follows:

	Prepaid	01/17/06	Grand Total
General Fund 2005	72,973.25	70,101.63	143,074.88
General Fund 2006	1,338.29	11,629.77	12,968.06
Sewer Fund 2005	9,177.20	5,258.14	14,435.34
Sewer Fund 2006	150.54	0.00	150.54
Water Fund 2005	9,013.88	1,680.76	10,694.64
Water Fund 2006	765.44	337.71	1,103.15
Trust 2005	66,145.30	0.00	66,145.30
Trust 2006	30,053.08	0.00	30,053.08
B.I.D.	0.00	0.00	0.00
Debt Service 2005	16,633.87	0.00	16,633.87
Debt Service 2006	35,000.00	0.00	35,000.00
Reserves	0.00	0.00	0.00
Unemployment	0.00	0.00	0.00
C.D. 2005	13,008.60	0.00	13,008.60
C.D. 2006	400.00	0.00	400.00
EDZ/LDC	0.00	0.00	0.00
Capital Projects 2005	0.00	0.00	0.00
Capital Projects 2006	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTALS	**$254,659.45**	**$ 89,008.01**	**667.46**

Seconded by Ald Williams and duly adopted, ayes all.

Executive Session to Discuss Current Litigation

Ald. Abraham **moved** to go into executive session at 7:38 PM to discuss current litigation. Seconded by Ald. Bresina and duly adopted, ayes all.

No action taken.

Ald. Maiurano **moved** to go out of executive session at 8:45 PM. Seconded by Ald. Williams and duly adopted, ayes all.

Adjournment

Ald. Abraham **moved** to adjourn at 8:46 PM. Seconded by Ald. Maiurano and duly adopted, ayes all.