

# TOWN OF ROTTERDAM

Diane M. Marco  
Town Clerk



---

John F. Kirvin Government Center • 1100 Sunrise Boulevard • Rotterdam, NY 12306  
Telephone: 518-355-7575 Ext. 352 • Fax: 518-355-7837 • Website: [www.rotterdamny.org](http://www.rotterdamny.org)  
Email \* [dmarco@rotterdamny.org](mailto:dmarco@rotterdamny.org)

May 30, 2024

New York State Department of State  
Bureau of State Records and Law  
One Commerce Plaza  
99 Washington Avenue  
Albany, NY 12231-0001

**RE: Filing of Town of Rotterdam Local Law 8-2024 Zone Change**

To Whom It May Concern:

Enclosed are forms required to file Local Law 8-2024, adopted by the Town Board of the Town of Rotterdam meeting held on May 22, 2024.

If you are in need of anything else please feel free to call my office.

Sincerely,

Diane M. Marco  
Town Clerk

Enclosure

At the regularly scheduled public meeting of the Town Board of the Town of Rotterdam, held at the John F. Kirvin Government Center, 1100 Sunrise Boulevard, Rotterdam, New York 12306 on Wednesday, May 22, 2024, at 7:00 p.m., the following resolution was duly adopted:

**RESOLUTION NO. 222.24**

**TO ENACT INTRODUCTORY LOCAL LAW NO. 8 OF 2024; TO AMEND CHAPTER 270, ZONING, OF THE TOWN CODE RELATING TO A CHANGE OF ZONE FROM SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (R-1) AND RETAIL BUSINESS (B-1) TO GENERAL BUSINESS (B-2) FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT HIGHBRIDGE ROAD, MERCER AVENUE, AND SHERMAN STREET**

**THEREFORE, UPON MOTION OF Councilmember DODSON, seconded by Councilmember GALLUCCI,**

**BE IT RESOLVED BY THE TOWN BOARD AS FOLLOWS:**

**SECTION 1.** The Town Board hereby enacts Introductory Local Law No. 8 of 2024.

**SECTION 2.** The Town Clerk is directed, following consultation with the Attorney to the Town, to file said Local Law in final form with the New York State Department of State.

**SECTION 3.** This resolution shall become effective May 22, 2024.

**DATED:** May 22, 2024

| NAME        | AYES | NOES | ABSTAIN |
|-------------|------|------|---------|
| Dodson      | X    |      |         |
| Mastroianni | X    |      |         |
| Gallucci    | X    |      |         |
| Schlag      |      | X    |         |
| Collins     | X    |      |         |

I, Diane M. Marco, Town Clerk of the Town of Rotterdam, Schenectady County, New York, **DO HEREBY CERTIFY** that the foregoing resolution was approved by the Town Board Meeting of the Town of Rotterdam on May 22, 2024, and that the foregoing resolution is a true and correct transcript of the original resolution and of the whole thereof and that said resolution is on file in the Town Clerk's office.

**I DO FURTHER CERTIFY** that each of the members of the Town Board had due notice of the said Town Board meeting.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of the Town of Rotterdam this May 28, 2024.

*Diane M. Marco*

Diane M. Marco, Town Clerk



# Local Law Filing

(Use this form to file a local law with the Secretary of State.)

Text of law should be given as amended. Do not include matter being eliminated and do not use italics or underlining to indicate new matter.

☐ County ☐ City ☒ Town ☐ Village  
(Select one:)

of Rotterdam

Local Law No. 8 of the year 20<sup>24</sup>

A local law TO AMEND CHAPTER 270, ZONING, OF THE TOWN CODE RELATING TO A CHANGE  
(Insert Title)  
A CHANGE OF ZONE FROM SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (R-1) AND RETAIL  
BUSINESS (B-1) TO GENERAL BUSINESS (B-2) FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT  
HIGHBRIDGE ROAD, MERCER STREET, AND SHERMAN STREET

Be it enacted by the Town Board of the  
(Name of Legislative Body)

☐ County ☐ City ☒ Town ☐ Village  
(Select one:)

of Rotterdam as follows:

See attached

(If additional space is needed, attach pages the same size as this sheet, and number each.)

(Complete the certification in the paragraph that applies to the filing of this local law and strike out that which is not applicable.)

**1. (Final adoption by local legislative body only.)**

I hereby certify that the local law annexed hereto, designated as local law No. 8 of 2024 of the (County)(City)(Town)(Village) of Rotterdam was duly passed by the Town Board on May 22 2024, in accordance with the applicable provisions of law.  
(Name of Legislative Body)

**2. (Passage by local legislative body with approval, no disapproval or repassage after disapproval by the Elective Chief Executive Officer\*.)**

I hereby certify that the local law annexed hereto, designated as local law No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_ of the (County)(City)(Town)(Village) of \_\_\_\_\_ was duly passed by the \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_\_\_, and was (approved)(not approved) (Name of Legislative Body)  
(repassed after disapproval) by the \_\_\_\_\_ and was deemed duly adopted (Elective Chief Executive Officer\*)  
on \_\_\_\_\_ 2024, in accordance with the applicable provisions of law.

**3. (Final adoption by referendum.)**

I hereby certify that the local law annexed hereto, designated as local law No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_ of the (County)(City)(Town)(Village) of \_\_\_\_\_ was duly passed by the \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_\_\_, and was (approved)(not approved) (Name of Legislative Body)  
(repassed after disapproval) by the \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_\_\_. (Elective Chief Executive Officer\*)

Such local law was submitted to the people by reason of a (mandatory)(permissive) referendum, and received the affirmative vote of a majority of the qualified electors voting thereon at the (general)(special)(annual) election held on \_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_\_\_, in accordance with the applicable provisions of law.

**4. (Subject to permissive referendum and final adoption because no valid petition was filed requesting referendum.)**

I hereby certify that the local law annexed hereto, designated as local law No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_ of the (County)(City)(Town)(Village) of \_\_\_\_\_ was duly passed by the \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_\_\_, and was (approved)(not approved) (Name of Legislative Body)  
(repassed after disapproval) by the \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_\_\_. Such local (Elective Chief Executive Officer\*)  
law was subject to permissive referendum and no valid petition requesting such referendum was filed as of \_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_\_\_, in accordance with the applicable provisions of law.

\* Elective Chief Executive Officer means or includes the chief executive officer of a county elected on a county-wide basis or, if there be none, the chairperson of the county legislative body, the mayor of a city or village, or the supervisor of a town where such officer is vested with the power to approve or veto local laws or ordinances.



**5. (City local law concerning Charter revision proposed by petition.)**


I hereby certify that the local law annexed hereto, designated as local law No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_ of the City of \_\_\_\_\_ having been submitted to referendum pursuant to the provisions of section (36)(37) of the Municipal Home Rule Law, and having received the affirmative vote of a majority of the qualified electors of such city voting thereon at the (special)(general) election held on \_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_\_\_, became operative.

**6. (County local law concerning adoption of Charter.)**

I hereby certify that the local law annexed hereto, designated as local law No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_ of the County of \_\_\_\_\_ State of New York, having been submitted to the electors at the General Election of November \_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_\_\_, pursuant to subdivisions 5 and 7 of section 33 of the Municipal Home Rule Law, and having received the affirmative vote of a majority of the qualified electors of the cities of said county as a unit and a majority of the qualified electors of the towns of said county considered as a unit voting at said general election, became operative.

**(If any other authorized form of final adoption has been followed, please provide an appropriate certification.)**

I further certify that I have compared the preceding local law with the original on file in this office and that the same is a correct transcript therefrom and of the whole of such original local law, and was finally adopted in the manner indicated in paragraph \_\_\_\_\_ above.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the county legislative body, City, Town or Village Clerk or officer designated by local legislative body

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ 5/22/2024



*Town of Rotterdam*  
*Office of the Planning Commission*

Kimberly Ricker Scannell, Chairman  
Peter J. Comenzo, Senior Planner

Telephone (518) 355-7575  
Facsimile (518) 355-2725

**Resolution Number PC2024-10**

Moved by Mr. Collins seconded by Mr. Miglucci  
Applicant: Stewart's Shops Corp.

**Resolution Adopting a Report and Recommendation on  
the Change of Zone Request by Stewart's Shops Corp.**

**WHEREAS**, the Town Board of the Town of Rotterdam ("Town Board") has received an application from Stewart's Shops Corp. ("Applicant") for a Change of Zone request on  $\pm 1.86$  acres from Single Family Residential (R-1) and Retail Business (B-1) to General Business (B-2) to facilitate the construction of a  $\pm 3,975$  square foot Stewart's Convenience Store with four (4) fueling islands. Property is located at Highbridge Road, Mercer Street, and Sherman Street and comprises 5 parcels of property known as Tax Map No. 59.16-2-10, 59.16-2-9.2, 59.16-2-9.1, 59.16-2-40.2 and 59.16-2-40.1; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town Board referred the Change of Zone application to the Planning Commission on January 24, 2024 for a report and recommendation thereon; and

**WHEREAS**, the Applicant, through its representative, Chuck Marshall, presented the Change of Zone application to the Planning Commission at its regularly scheduled meeting held on February 6, 2024; and

**WHEREAS**, the Planning Commission reviewed the proposed Change of Zone at its regularly scheduled meeting held on February 6, 2024; and

**WHEREAS**, the Planning Commission has deliberated on the proposed Change of Zone;

**NOW, THEREFORE**, upon motion of Member Collins, seconded by Member Miglucci,

**BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Planning Commission of the Town of Rotterdam as follows:

1. The Planning Commission hereby adopts the following as its report on the proposed Change of Zone:

The Change of Zone request concerns a total of  $\pm 1.86$  acres consisting of five separate parcels located on the corner of Highbridge Road, Mercer Street, and Sherman Street. 106 Sherman Street is currently vacant, 102 Sherman Street surrounds Tax Parcel 59.16-2-9.2 which previously operated as a gas station/auto repair facility that has not been in operation for several years, 1100 Highbridge Road contains a dilapidated structure that was previously utilized as a convenience store and deli, and 101 Mercer Avenue which is currently a two-family residence. The Applicant seeks the change of zone to facilitate the construction of a new Stewart's Shop (convenience store) with fuel pumps. The property was previously developed with a motor vehicle fueling station and convenience store on separate properties. Over the last 40 years or so, modernization of these types of uses have evolved and the combining of these two uses into one building site is standard. The Town Zoning Code was

amended to recognize this and updates to the Town Code in regards to Convenience Stores was amended on April 11, 2001 by the Town Board.

Rezoning the parcel would expand the allowable uses to include, in addition to uses allowed in the B-1 zoning district, the following:


- Establishments for making, assembling or repairing articles, with all such activities and Storage located entirely within an enclosed building;
- Commercial health and recreation establishments;
- Wholesale business and storage conducted entirely within an enclosed building;
- Data processing and computer services;
- Motor vehicle repair shops (special use permit);
- Propane gas filling stations (special use permit);
- Car washes (special use permit);
- Dry-cleaning laundry facilities (special use permit);
- Mass transportation facilities (special use permit);
- Drive-in establishments (special use permit);
- Public utility or communications installations (special use permit);
- Hotels and motels (special use permit);
- Contractor's shops and equipment storage yards (special use permit);
- Veterinary hospitals (special use permit);
- Motor vehicle sales and rental establishments (special use permit);
- Motor vehicle fuel filling stations (special use permit); and
- Convenience stores (special use permit).


There would be no change in the required lot area or lot frontage; the B-1 and B-2 zoning districts both require a minimum lot area of 15,000 square feet, with a minimum lot width of 100 feet.

2. Subject to the considerations set forth below in Paragraph 3, the Planning Commission hereby adopts **a positive recommendation** on the Stewart's Shops Corp. Change of Zone request for the following reasons: The proposed Change of Zone would permit the existing abandoned motor vehicle repair facility and deli located on the site to be demolished and the site redeveloped, modernized, and expanded. Pursuant to the Town's Comprehensive Plan, this property is in/near a Key Regional Access point which promotes the accessibility of the Town in the Capital Region and beyond. Enhancing regional connections and gateways creates a sense of place and creates opportunities to attract future business, industry, employers, and residents and provides an opportunity for marketing the Town. The property is in the "gateway" to the Highbridge neighborhood and currently an eyesore. Permitting a redevelopment/expansion of this property into a Stewart's Shop would be an asset to the community and would align with the goals set forth in the Comprehensive Plan.
3. This recommendation is subject to the following considerations:
  - a. The various lots should be consolidated into one parcel if and when the Applicant seeks approval to redevelop the parcels for a new Stewart's Shop.

- b. Neither a positive recommendation by the Planning Commission, nor any rezoning action taken by the Town Board, should be construed as an approval or other favorable position concerning any proposed conceptual development plan. Any proposed development shall remain subject to full review by the Planning Commission and, as necessary, by the Zoning Board of Appeals.

| <u>Member</u>            | <u>Aye</u> | <u>Nay</u> |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|
| Kimberly Ricker Scannell | X          |            |
| Mark D'Alessandro        |            | X          |
| Wayne Calder             | X          |            |
| Clark Collins            | X          |            |
| Joseph Miglucci          | X          |            |
| Lynn Flansburg           | X          |            |
| Joseph Signore           | X          |            |

  
 Peter J. Comenzo  
 Senior Planner

  
 Kimberly Ricker Scannell  
 Planning Commission Chairman



April 30, 2024

Mr. Peter Comenzo, Senior Planner  
Town of Rotterdam  
1100 Sunrise Blvd.  
Rotterdam, New York 12306

Mr. Comenzo,

Stewart's received the following comments from Greenman-Pedersen, Inc. (GPI) regarding the submitted Full Environmental Assessment (FEAF) along with the concept plans and traffic study developed by Creighton Manning Engineering (CME). Highlighted below each comment is Stewart's response.

General Review Notes and Comments:

1. An 8' high fence seems excessive given the location and setting. This should be discussed and reviewed by the Planning Board during site plan review.  
Stewart's Response: The inclusion of the 8' fence stemmed from an onsite meeting with the owners of 107 Mercer Ave. The only portion of the fence intended to be 8' would extend along the common property boundary with those property owners. We believe it appropriate to provide shielding for these residents.
2. Additional screening plantings are recommended along Mercer Ave.  
Stewart's Response: Comment acknowledged. Potential modifications achievable if Stewart's seek appropriate zoning where modification can occur with Planning Commission guidance.
3. The gross floor area was calculated to be approximately 5,200 SF based on the definition in the zoning code. This would require 42 parking stalls base on the code required 8 per 1,000 sf.  
Stewart's Response: we believe GPI has included the gas canopy, with the parking at the pumps we are deficient 5 spaces and should Site Plan proceed, we will incorporate those additional spaces.
4. Ensure that all signage meets the requirement of section 270-151. The sign face area should be calculated based on the code requirements. The free-standing sign must be at least 5' from the property line.

- Stewart's Response: Comment acknowledged. Sizing and placement can be discussed during Site Plan review.
5. The underground petroleum tanks are required to be at least 25' from the property line per section 270-145.1  
Stewart's Response: Comment acknowledged and compliance upon formal Site Plan approval.
6. The northwest corner of the parking lot does not provide adequate turning movement consider revising.  
Stewart's Response: Comment acknowledged and will be reviewed.
7. An off-street loading space is required per section 270-150. The minimum dimensions are 15' by 40'.  
Stewart's Response: Comment acknowledged and will be added to future plans.
8. Sidewalk improvements should be shown along Highbridge Road including a connection across Mercer Ave.  
Stewart's Response: Comment acknowledged and to be included upon formal Site Plan submission.
9. The proposed project will disturb more than 1-acre so a SWPPP with post-construction stormwater management will be required and the proposed treatment practice should be shown on the plans.  
Stewart's Response: Comment acknowledged and anticipated upon further submission.

Short Environmental Assessment Form Comments:

- Stewart's Response: Full Environmental Assessment Form (FEAF) was submitted and comments based off the FEAF.
10. GENERAL: The following comments assume that the two actions (change of zone and site plan review) will be simultaneously assessed under the SEQR process.
11. Part B.e: The septic system is anticipated to require Schenectady County DOH Approval.  
Stewart's Response: Entry changed
12. Part B.g: A SWPPP will be required for the project and require NYSDEC Review.  
Stewart's Response: Entry changed
13. Part D.1.b.a: The total acreage of the site should be updated to match the surveyed area.  
Stewart's Response: Entry changed
14. Part D.1.b.b: The total acreage to be disturbed should be updated to match what is shown on the proposed site plan.  
Stewart's Response: Entry changed
15. Part D.1.b.c: The total acreage owned or controlled by the applicant should be updated.  
Stewart's Response: Entry changed


16. Part D.2.e: Additional info needed for part i, ii and iii.  
Stewart's Response: Information added
17. Part D.2.n: Part ii needs to be answered and described.  
Stewart's Response: Information added
18. Part D.2.r: Part ii needs to be answered.  
Stewart's Response: Answers altered
19. Part E.1.a: Check all uses that occur on or near project site and provide description.  
Stewart's Response: Commercial added as additional activity
20. Part E.1.b: Update land use and cover types to include the entire project area including work within the ROW.  
Stewart's Response: We don't understand what's missing and no revision made
21. Part E.2.c: Predominant soil types should be provided.  
Stewart's Response: Answer altered. According to Web Soil Survey the site consists of 100% Colonie Loamy Fine Sand.
22. Part E.2.d: Average depth to water table should be provided.  
Stewart's Response: According to Web Soil Survey, groundwater is at approximately 80". Deep hole and perc tests have not been completed because of contamination concern. Should Change of Zone be granted, this is included in Site Plan development.
23. Part E.2.m: Predominant wildlife species should be provided.  
Stewart's Response: No known habitat on site, grey squirrel added as common tree dweller.
24. Part E.3.b: Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present? This needs to be answered.  
Stewart's Response: Answer updated to "no" as agricultural use is not permitted in B-1 zone.

GPI generally conformed to all CME traffic study comments and Stewart's did not address.

The information requested has been revised where appropriate. As the initial comment letter indicated the SEQRA review has elements of the Site Plan included where it has not been fully designed or developed and only a concept level done for contemplation of the Change of Zone.

If there are additional questions or you need additional information, please reach me at (518) 581-1201 ext 4435.

Respectfully submitted,



Charles "Chuck" Marshall  
Stewart's Shops Corp.

May 1st, 2024

SENT VIA E-MAIL

Peter Comenzo, Senior Planner  
Town of Rotterdam  
1100 Sunrise Blvd.  
Rotterdam, NY 12306

**RE: TDE Review – Stewart’s Shops Highbridge Road  
1098 Highbridge Road, Rotterdam, NY**

Dear Mr. Comenzo,

GPI has received a comment response letter provided by Stewart’s Shops Corp dated Apr 30, 2024 with an updated EAF Long Form dated Apr 26, 2024

GPI has reviewed the submitted materials and compiled comments on the materials submitted. Previous comments that have not been adequately addressed are included and begin with a P# to indicate they are from the prior review. Additional information has been added in **bold** to the end of the original comment for any clarification that could be provided. The applicant’s responses to the comments have been included as *italicized* text below the comments. Listed comments below are only the issues identified during the review, the applicant will need to review all of the materials produced for the submission for any related issues or conflicts in other reports/documents.

**General Review Notes and Comments:**

1. P#1: An 8’ high fence seems excessive given the location and setting. This should be discussed and reviewed by the Planning Board during site plan review. **An 8’ high fence will require a variance from the zoning board. This will be addressed during site plan review.**
  - *RESPONSE: The inclusion of the 8’ fence stemmed from an onsite meeting with the owners of 107 Mercer Ave. The only portion of the fence intended to be 8’ would extend along the common property boundary with those property owners. We believe it appropriate to provide shielding for these residents.*
2. P#2: Additional screening plantings are recommended along Mercer Ave. **This will be addressed during site plan review.**
  - *RESPONSE: Comment acknowledged. Potential modifications achievable if Stewart’s seek appropriate zoning where modification can occur with Planning Commission guidance.*



3. P#3: The gross floor area was calculated to be approximately 5,200 SF based on the definition in the zoning code. This would require 42 parking stalls base on the code required 8 per 1,000 sf. **The definition for gross floor area from the zoning code is "For computing off-street parking requirements, the sum of the gross horizontal area(s) of the floor(s) of the building(s) measured from the interior faces of the walls. All roofed areas, except porches, terraces, cellars, basements, enclosed off-street parking areas and pedestrian walkways in an enclosed mail, shall be included in calculation of floor areas."** GPI scaled the areas from the site plans which included 3,975 sf for the proposed shop, 1,400 sf for roof overhangs and building canopies, and 110 sf for the attached shed. This would be a total of 5,485 sf of gross floor area which would require 44 parking stalls. This can be addressed during site plan review.
- *RESPONSE: we believe GPI has included the gas canopy, with the parking at the pumps we are deficient 5 spaces and should Site Plan proceed, we will incorporate those additional spaces.*
4. P#4: Ensure that all signage meets the requirement of section 270-151. The sign face area should be calculated based on the code requirements. The free-standing sign must be at least 5' from the property line. **This will be addressed during site plan review.**
- *RESPONSE: Comment acknowledged. Sizing and placement can be discussed during Site Plan review.*
5. P#5: The underground petroleum tanks are required to be at least 25' from the property line per section 270-145.1. **This will be addressed during site plan review.**
- *RESPONSE: Comment acknowledged and compliance upon formal Site Plan approval.*
6. P#6: The northwest corner of the parking lot does not provide adequate turning movement consider revising. **This will be addressed during site plan review.**
- *RESPONSE: Comment acknowledged and will be reviewed.*
7. P#7: An off-street loading space is required per section 270-150. The minimum dimensions are 15' by 40'. **This will be addressed during site plan review.**
- *RESPONSE: Comment acknowledged and will be added to future plans.*
8. P#8: Sidewalk improvements should be shown along Highbridge Road including a connection across Mercer Ave. **This will be addressed during site plan review.**
- *RESPONSE: Comment acknowledged and to be included upon formal Site Plan submission.*
9. P#9: The proposed project will disturb more than 1-acre so a SWPPP with post-construction stormwater management will be required and the proposed treatment practice should be shown on the plans. **This will be addressed during site plan review.**
- *RESPONSE: Comment acknowledged and anticipated upon further submission.*

**Full Environmental Assessment Form Comments:**

All previous comments have been sufficiently addressed and GPI confirms the application is complete. We recommend the Town Board consider approval of the SEQR Full EAF form.

Please feel free to contact us with any questions.




Regards,  
**GPI/GREENMAN-PEDERSEN, INC.**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ryan Trunko", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Ryan Trunko, PE  
Project Manager  
80 Wolf Road, Suite 600, Albany, NY 12205  
518-898-9551 | [rtrunko@gpinet.com](mailto:rtrunko@gpinet.com)


# PROPOSED STEWART'S SHOP

1098 HIGHBRIDGE ROAD - SCHENECTADY (T/ ROTTERDAM), NY 12303




## PROPOSED FREESTANDING SIGN

- SIZE - 10'0\"/>




## TYPICAL BUILDING SIGN

- SIZE - 10'0\"/>




## GAS CANOPY FRONT ELEVATION



## GAS CANOPY SIDE ELEVATION

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   |  | <b>STEWART'S SHOPS</b><br>1098 HIGHBRIDGE ROAD - SCHENECTADY (T/ ROTTERDAM), NY 12303<br>TEL: 518-338-1234 |  |
| PROJECT: 1098 HIGHBRIDGE ROAD - SCHENECTADY (T/ ROTTERDAM), NY 12303<br>DATE: 1/1/2024<br>REVISIONS: 1<br>DRAWN BY: JES<br>SCALE: AS SHOWN<br>DATE: 1/1/2024<br>PROJECT: 1098 HIGHBRIDGE ROAD - SCHENECTADY (T/ ROTTERDAM), NY 12303<br>TEL: 518-338-1234 |  | TITLE SHEET<br>1-1   |  |

[illegible]

1. NAME LAST

If all names are to be compared with reference, standardized procedure may be used.

2. DATE POB POD

Birth dates shall be indicated to alphanumeric if possible. Dates of death shall be indicated in full.

3. RELATIONSHIP

Indicate relationship to decedent in full.

4. PLAC LOC

Place and location of death.

5. CAUSE MAN

Cause of death and manner of death.

6. TOX DRUG

Toxicology and drug use.

7. LAB TEST

Laboratory tests.

8. CLIN SYM

Clinical symptoms.

9. PATH ANAT

Pathology and anatomy.

10. IMM TR

Immunity and travel.

11. ENV FACT

Environmental factors.

12. LEGAL NOTE

Legal notes.

13. OTHER INFO

Other information.





1008A HIGHERIDGE ROAD - SCHENECTADY (T/ ROTTERDAM), NY 12303



Stewart's Strong

SARATOGA SPRINGS, NY 12000

DRAWING NO. S-2

# PROPOSED SITE PLAN

[illegible]

|  |                               |   |
|--|-------------------------------|---|
|   | HIGHBRIDGE ROAD - HBR - 400   |   |
|  | FUTURE DATE, COMPLETION 1. 00 |   |
| SITE LOCATION<br>1048 HIGHBRIDGE ROAD - SCHENECTADY (T) ROTTERDAM, NY 12308  |                               |   |
| <div> <div>  </div> <div>           34000000 SPENKATA, NY 12000<br/>           TEL 518-300-4320         </div> </div> |                               |   |
| DATE<br>12/21/11   | NO.<br>1                      | REVISIONS<br>1. 12/21/11<br>2. 12/21/11<br>3. 12/21/11<br>4. 12/21/11 |
| DRAWING BY: JAG<br>CHECKED BY: JAG<br>DATE: 1/22/12<br>REVISIONS: 5-4  |                               |   |
| TITLE<br>LANDSCAPE PLAN  |                               | THE DESIGNER'S SEAL   |
| APPROVALS TO THE PROJECT BY OTHER FIRM OR AGENCY<br>(SEE OTHER SHEETS FOR SIGNATURES AND STAMPS)   |                               |   |





[illegible]**RVTECH**  
SOLUTIONS