

**EXTRACT OF MINUTES OF MEETING OF THE CITY  
COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SCHENECTADY ADOPTING  
A THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL BOND ORDINANCE**

At a meeting of the City Council of the City of Schenectady, New York, duly held in Schenectady, New York on June 10, 2024.

Present: City Council Members: Marion Porterfield, Doreen Ditoro, Damonni Farley, Joseph Mancini, John Mootooveren, Carmel Patrick, Carl Williams

Absent: None

Also Present: Gary R. McCarthy, Mayor, City of Schenectady  
Samanta R. Mykoo, Schenectady City Clerk  
Maxine Barasch, Esq. Corporation Counsel

Mr. Mootooveren presented the Ordinance and it was seconded by Mr. Williams:

**ORDINANCE NO. 2024-07**

**First SUPPLEMENTAL BOND ORDINANCE DATED JUNE 10, 2024 AUTHORIZING AN INCREASE IN THE COST OF THE CONSTRUCTION , RECONSTRUCTION AND INSTALLATION OF IMPROVMENT AT AND IN CONNECTION WITH RICE ROAD WATER TREATMENT PLANT PHASE I BY \$5,964,703 , THE EXPENDITURE OF SUCH SUM FOR SUCH PURPOSE, AND DETERMINING OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH.**

WHEREAS, pursuant to a bond ordinance duly adopted on November 19, 2021 (the “Original Bond Ordinance”), the City Council of the City of Schenectady (the “City Council” and “City”, respectively) authorized the construction, reconstruction and installation of Improvements to Rice road Water Treatment Plant (the “Purpose”), at a maximum cost of \$7,775,470 and the issuance of not to exceed \$7,775,470 of bonds and bond anticipation notes of the City to finance the costs of the Purpose; and

WHEREAS, the City of Schenectady Commissioner of Finance and Administration has determined that the cost of the Purpose has increased by \$5,964,703 to a maximum cost of \$13,740,175 and has recommended that the City Council of the City approve an increase in the maximum cost of the Purpose; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City desires to approve the increase in the maximum cost of the Purpose; and

WHEREAS, the purpose pursuant to Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law, Chapter 43-B of the Consolidated Laws of New York, as amended (the "SEQRA Act") and the regulations adopted pursuant thereto by the Department of Environmental Conservation of the State, being 6 NYCRR Part 617, as amended (the "Regulations"), the City Council of the City as lead agency has: (a) conducted a coordinated environmental impact review of the Project pursuant to SEQRA; (b) determined that the Project is an "Unlisted Action" (as defined in the Regulations); (c) examined the Environmental Assessment Form prepared for the Project, the criteria contained in 6 NYCRR §617.7(c), and undertaken such further investigation of the Project and its environmental effects as

the City has deemed appropriate; and (d) issued a negative declaration for the Project, finding it will not have a "significant effect on the environment" (as said quoted term is defined in the SEQRA Act and the Regulations), and thereby concluding the SEQRA review process; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has not taken any action or adopted any local law which would require the effectiveness of this supplemental bond ordinance be subjected to a permissive or mandatory referendum;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THIS CITY COUNCIL AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The City Council hereby authorizes an increase in the maximum cost of the Purpose by \$5,964,703 to a maximum cost of \$13,740,173, and the expenditure of an additional \$13,740,173 to finance the cost of the Purpose.

Section 2. This Supplemental Bond Ordinance shall take effect immediately upon its adoption by the City Council of the City.

AYES

Marion Porterfield  
Doreen Ditoro  
Damonni Farley  
Joseph Mancini  
John Mootooveren  
Carmel Patrick  
Carl Williams

NAYS

ABSENT

STATE OF NEW YORK            )  
  ) SS.:  
COUNTY OF SCHENECTADY    )

I, the undersigned Clerk of the City of Schenectady, do hereby certify as follows:

1.       A Regular Meeting of the City Council of the City of Schenectady, State of New York, was duly held on June 10, 2024, and Minutes of said meeting have been duly recorded in the Minute Book kept by me in accordance with law for the purpose of recording the Minutes of meetings of said Council. I have compared the attached Extract with said Minutes so recorded and said Extract is a true copy of said Minutes and of the whole thereof insofar as said Minutes relate to matters referred to in said Extract.

2.       Said Minutes correctly state the time when said meeting was convened and the place where such meeting was held and the members of said Council who attended said meeting.

3.       Public Notice of the time and place of said meeting was duly given to the public and the news media in accordance with Article 7 of the Public Officers Law (the “Open Meetings Law”), and that the members of said Council had due notice of said meeting and that the meeting was in all respects duly held and a quorum was present and acted throughout.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and have hereunto affixed the corporate seal of the City of Schenectady this \_\_\_\_ day of June , 2024.

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

[SEAL]