

1	UPPER CHICHESTER TOWNSHIP
2	BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
3	
4	re: Community Development Block Grant funding
5	
6	Upper Chichester, Pennsylvania
7	Thursday, December 8, 2016
8	
9	Hearing in the above matter held at the
10	Upper Chichester Township Building, 8500 Furey
11	Road, Upper Chichester, Pennsylvania, on the above
12	date at 7:15 p.m. recorded stenographically by
13	Maria Lairdieson O'Neill, Registered Professional
14	Reporter and Notary Public.
15	
16	BEFORE: MICHAEL GAUDIUSO, President EDWARD RAIKOWSKI, Commissioner
17	NICOLE WHITAKER, Commissioner
18	JOSEPH BAIOCCO, Commissioner JOSEPH NEARY, Commissioner
19	HOWARD GALLAGHER, ESQUIRE, Solicitor
20	
21	
22	MARIA L. O'NEILL, INC.
23	610.357.2825 rio22rpr@comcast.net
24	
25	

1	ALSO PRESENT:
2	Lisa Catania, P.E.
3	George Needles, township manager
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

- 1 MR. GAUDIUSO: All right. 7:15. 2 like to restart the meeting again for our CDBG grant. At this point in time we're going to turn 3 over the meeting over to our township engineer Lisa Catania, so she can further explain what is 5 6 going on. 7 MS. CATANIA: Thank you, Mr. President. Today we're having a hearing concerning 8 9 Community Development Block Grant funding. 10 is HUD funding that is given to the county to administer. And each municipality is requested --11 that isn't home charter, is requested to provide 12 application to office of Housing And Community 13 Development for evaluation. 14 15 Those projects are within eligible areas of each municipality. Those areas are low- to 16 17 moderate-income housing. The area in Upper Chichester that is 18 19 eligible is what would be known as Excelsion 20 Village area, the Twin Oaks area, and the Johnson 21 Avenue area. There is a map, if anyone's 22 interested in taking a look at the map. I think you're pretty much aware of it. That mapping was 23
- 25 Community Development Block Grant

24

based on the census that was done in 2010.

- funding can be used for acquisition of property,
- 2 relocation of properties, demolition, and rehab of
- 3 residential homes. That is under a separate
- 4 program than we're here to talk tonight.
- 5 Public -- construction of public facilities,
- 6 improvements to public facilities, sewer and
- 7 water, sanitary lines, streets, neighborhood
- 8 centers, and planning projects.
- For example, if you needed a new zoning
- ordinance or a town center plan, those would be
- 11 eligible.
- 12 The purpose of the program is to benefit
- 13 low- to moderate-income persons, and we're trying
- 14 to prevent or eliminate blight within those
- 15 communities.
- 16 There is one project that I believe that
- the Township is interested in, and that's the
- Johnson Avenue Phase 2. We just bid the Phase 1
- of the project, and this would be the second phase
- 20 of that.
- 21 The Township can decide to do a priority
- 22 project, as well as an alternate. If Johnson
- 23 Avenue is to be the priority project, it is
- 24 suggested by OHCD not to -- that we could put an
- 25 alternate project into the county.

- If you decide that there is another
- 2 project that may be a priority for you, they're
- 3 suggesting that you not put Johnson Avenue in,
- 4 because County Council will in all likelihood
- 5 allocate monies for the Johnson Avenue project
- 6 before your priority project because they've
- 7 already given you money for the Phase 1. Just
- 8 keep that in mind.
- 9 MS. WHITAKER: Say that again.
- 10 MS. CATANIA: All right. So right now,
- 11 I think, I believe, that Johnson Avenue project is
- 12 going to be your priority project. If that's the
- 13 case, then there would be an alternate.
- 14 If you have another project that you
- think that you'd rather do as a priority, they're
- 16 suggesting only put that project in, don't put an
- 17 alternate or put a different alternate than the
- 18 Phase 2 of Johnson Avenue.
- 19 It's been past practice that County
- 20 Council will look at the next phase of a project
- 21 if they've allocated money to the previous phase.
- MS. WHITAKER: Right. That's what I
- 23 thought you said. Okay.
- MS. CATANIA: I'll take any questions
- 25 that anyone has, suggestions for projects. We had

- 1 talked about some of them at the workshop the
- 2 other night.
- 3 MS. WHITAKER: My recommendation is to
- 4 do Johnson Avenue Phase 2.
- 5 MR. NEARY: It would be Nicole's call,
- 6 obviously.
- 7 MR. GAUDIUSO: Yes.
- MR. NEARY: It's only in her ward.
- 9 MR. GAUDIUSO: I think Nicole brought
- 10 that up several times, Johnson Avenue. So I think
- 11 there's no -- I think the Board would be very
- 12 supportive, to see that through.
- MS. CATANIA: You can put an alternate
- 14 project in. Obviously there's reconstruction of
- 15 roads that we've done before, there's paving of
- 16 roads within those areas that you could do, and
- 17 there's playgrounds within that area. There could
- 18 be upgrades to those playgrounds if you so choose.
- We'll stay between 100 and 120 thousand
- 20 dollars as far as allocations is concerned. That
- 21 seems to be the sweet spot that County Council has
- 22 been funding.
- MR. NEEDLES: Is that for total?
- 24 MS. CATANIA: Total.
- MR. NEEDLES: Or with the main project

- 1 and all the alternative?
- MS. CATANIA: No; each project.
- 3 MR. RAIKOWSKI: Each project.
- 4 MS. CATANIA: Each project.
- 5 MS. WHITAKER: Did we ever get any
- 6 information on the storm water?
- 7 MS. CATANIA: Absolutely nothing. We
- 8 can certainly try to put it in. My understanding
- 9 is that that project was a project that the
- 10 maintenance forces wanted to do. And that would
- 11 not be possible under this project, under this
- 12 program. It would have to be bid.
- 13 MS. WHITAKER: I don't understand what
- 14 you mean, it wouldn't be possible.
- 15 MS. CATANIA: You couldn't do it -- you
- 16 couldn't utilize -- you couldn't design it and
- 17 have the allocation go to the Township. It would
- 18 have to actually be a publicly bid project.
- MS. WHITAKER: Right.
- 20 MS. CATANIA: So the Township would not
- 21 be able to do it themselves.
- 22 MS. WHITAKER: Right. Yeah. Right.
- 23 They looked at it because residents have been
- 24 asking about it, it's been an issue. So I went
- 25 out and looked at it, to see if in fact they could

- 1 do it.
- 2 As Opie suggested, if there were monies
- 3 particularly from the grant that we could put in,
- 4 then yeah, it would be something that we could bid
- 5 out. I don't know that they can do it. They just
- 6 wanted to look at it.
- 7 MS. CATANIA: Okay. So that's
- 8 Washington Avenue.
- 9 MS. WHITAKER: That's the one that
- 10 goes -- over Washington, all the way to Broadway.
- 11 So that would be a back-up project. Because we
- 12 did Bellview Terrace. Did we do storm water on
- 13 Bellview Terrace --
- 14 MS. CATANIA: You did.
- 15 MS. WHITAKER: -- as a part of that same
- 16 grant?
- MS. CATANIA: Yes.
- MS. WHITAKER: I would have that as a
- 19 back-up project.
- MR. GAUDIUSO: Just for clarity, an
- 21 outside contractor would have to do the work, not
- 22 our township employees. Our highway department
- 23 does a lot of street work.
- MS. CATANIA: Under the grant it must be
- 25 bid, publicly bid, because it's fed money, and it

- has to be prevailing -- Davis Bacon. 1 MR. GAUDIUSO: Right. 2 3 MS. CATANIA: So it would be federal prevailing wages. 4 MR. GAUDIUSO: So I would just keep our 5 department out of the whole process. 6 7 MS. CATANIA: Correct. MR. GAUDIUSO: Are there any other 8 questions? If not, we don't need to vote on it, 9 do we? 10 MS. CATANIA: You will at the regular 11 12 meeting.
- MR. GAUDIUSO: At the regular meeting.
- MS. CATANIA: Right.
- 15 MR. GAUDIUSO: If there are no
- 16 questions, can I have a motion to adjourn.
- 17 MS. CATANIA: You have public comment?
- MR. GAUDIUSO: Any public comment about
- 19 the CDBG grant? Doesn't appear to be any public
- 20 comments. Can I have a motion to adjourn.
- MR. NEARY: So motioned.
- MR. BAIOCCO: Second.
- MR. GAUDIUSO: Motion carried.
- 24 (The hearing concluded at 7:24 p.m.)

25

1	
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that the
3	proceedings and evidence are contained fully and
4	accurately in the stenographic notes taken by me
5	upon the foregoing matter on Thursday,
6	December 8, 2016, and that this is a correct
7	transcript of same.
8	
9	
10	
11	Maria Gardison Hell
12	Maria Lairdieson O'Neill
13	Registered Professional Reporter
14	Notary Public
15	
16	
17	
18	(The foregoing certification of this
19	transcript does not apply to any reproduction of
20	the same by any means, unless under the direct
21	control and/or supervision of the certifying
22	reporter.)
23	
24	
25	