1	UPPER CHICHESTER TOWNSHIP
2	BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
3	DELAWARE COUNTY, PA
4	
5	Creekside Village Walking Trail Removal
6	
7	Thursday, December 8, 2011 Commencing at 6:30 p.m.
8	 .
9 10	Upper Chichester Township Municipal Building Furey Road
11	Boothwyn, PA
12	
13	BOARD MEMBERS:
14	JOE NEARY, 4th Ward
15	NICOLE WHITAKER, 2nd Ward JOE BAIOCCO, 3rd Ward JOE DiMARCO, 1st Ward
16	
17	COUNSEL APPEARED AS FOLLOWS:
18	HOWARD J. GALLAGHER, III, ESQUIRE
19	Solicitor for the Township
20	 ALSO PRESENT:
21	JUDY LIZZA
22	Township Manager
23	LISA CATANIA Township Engineer
24	
25	

1 ||

2 <u>E X H I B I T S</u>

TOWNSHIP'S

4	<u>Number</u>		<u>Marked</u>	<u>Rec'd</u>
5	T-1	Legal Notice	5	5
6	T-2	Ordinance Amendment	5	5
7	T - 3	Proof of Publication	5	5
8	T - 4	Letter dated 11-9-11	5	5
9	T-5	List of Property Owners	5	5
10	Т-6	Affidavit	5	5

PROCEEDINGS

THE PRESIDENT: I would like to call the meeting to order. All rise for the Pledge of Allegiance.

(Pledge recited).

THE PRESIDENT: This is a public hearing regarding Creekside Village. This is an amendment to their PRD. I will turn the meeting over to our solicitor, Mr. Gallagher.

MR. GALLAGHER: Thank you. That is correct. This is an advertised public hearing concerning a proposed amendment to the Planned Residential Development known as Creekside Village at Naaman's. Specifically, the issue is the removal of the walking trail from the plan.

I have a few exhibits I would like to mark into evidence and then I believe I will turn it back to you, Mr. President, for comments from the public.

T-1 would be the legal notice advertising tonight's hearing.

T-2 is the proposed Ordinance itself.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

T-3 would be the proof of publication indicating that the legal notice was advertised in the Delaware County Daily Times on November 14th and November 21st, 2011.

T-4 is a letter notifying the property owners of tonight's meeting. That letter is dated November 9th, 2011. It states that the township will be holding a public hearing at 6:30 this evening on Thursday, December 8th, as regards to the advisability of extinguishing the walking trail in the Planned Residential Development known as Creekside Village at Naaman's. This was sent by the township manager, Judy Lizza.

T-5 lists all of the property owners to whom this letter was sent. This letter was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, and I have in my possession green cards. The original signed return receipt green cards have been assembled by the township as have the envelopes which were returned as not delivered.

Finally, I have as Exhibit T-6 an affidavit signed by Judy Lizza, our township manager, attesting to the fact that the November 9th letter was sent to the property owners at Creekside Village

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by certified mail, return receipt requested.

I would move for the admission of those exhibits, and that is all I have.

THE PRESIDENT: So moved.

(Above-described documents marked Township Exhibit T-1 thru T-6, for identification, and received into evidence).

THE PRESIDENT: Now, as to citizen comments, we have announced why we are here. This was a public notice for a changing in the Ordinance for removal of the walking trail at Creekside Village. Do any citizens have any questions or comments? If so, please come to the podium and give us your name and address so you can be on the record.

MR. KIRK: My name is Richard Kirk. I live at 26 Brentwood Road in the Creekside Village Development. In your letter that was sent out registered to the residents, you identified the trail as being extinguished. I wonder if you can comment on exactly what that means. Is it removal from the plans and documents or is it actually removal from the property itself?

Is it actually going to be

2 removed? Could you explain that to us?

MR. NEARY: The trail is going to be removed. Right now it's not stable as you know. So the intent is to instead of having the bonding company be responsible for restoring it, we are just going to ask them to remove it. We will, however, make some allowance to keep access to the detention basin either by just mowing it or maintaining it, but we are going to eliminate the trail.

MR. KIRK: So the timbers that are currently buried in the side of the trail will actually be pulled out and removed, or will it just be covered over?

MR. NEARY: That is my intention.

THE PRESIDENT: Correct.

MR. GALLAGHER: We are meeting with them Monday morning. We can discuss that with them or clarify that.

MR. NEARY: Well, wouldn't it cheaper for them to remove it, in your opinion?

MS. CATANIA: I don't know that that would be the case, but as it stands right now they are awaiting a punch list, a final punch list, because this was one of the outstanding items they

Creekside Village

are aware of. We can put whatever we want on the punch list, and I guess they will answer it accordingly on how they are proposing to take care of it.

MR. NEARY: The intent is to remove the entire trail, the timbers and everything else.

MR. KIRK: There is an observation deck which was considered part of the walking trail. Was it the intent to have that removed as well?

MR. NEARY: The one on the pond, no. That is what I am saying. You want to keep some type of access to that.

MR. KIRK: That was installed as part of the walking trail improvement. I don't know that we would want that liability necessarily out there still. To me that is a liability.

MR. NEARY: It's never been brought to my attention that that needed to be removed as well.

MR. KIRK: Well, with no access to that observation deck other than through a wooded area in the future if the walking trail is removed,

it's a perfect opportunity for young adults and children to play on the observation deck. Somebody could get hurt. It's a liability issue.

MR. NEARY: I indicated that we maintain some type of access to that.

MR. KIRK: We need to maintain access to the pond to do maintenance work on the pond itself. The Homeowners Association is responsible for that. We need to maintain the pond and muck it out to make sure that the outfall is not plugged, so we have to get back there for that purpose.

But the observation deck itself, there is no immediate access by trail for residents to go back there and see it. To me it's just an opportunity for kids basically to go out there and play on it and party on it, and there is the potential liability that somebody could get hurt.

MR. NEARY: If that had been brought to my attention before, we could have added it to the resolution. As I said, this is the first time that I have heard this particular comment in regards to the deck.

MR. KIRK: I guess probably it was assumed that the deck was all part of the initial

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

installation of the walking trail, and it was assumed that if it was going to be removed, it would be part of that as well.

MR. NEARY: I wasn't on the board when the original plans were put in place, so I don't know what was construed to be the trail. If someone told me "the trail," I would think just the particular trail that ran behind it, not the deck that people could use. I don't know what the intent was behind the deck.

MR. KIRK: I would just like to request that that be considered by the board in their discussions with the insurance companies regarding the removal of it.

MR. NEARY: I have no feel for what the residents' feelings are for that particular aspect of it. I have a feeling for the people who responded. We had well over 150, I think just short of two hundred, but I did the survey awhile ago.

I have been somewhat out of the loop for a little bit, but I know overwhelmingly people asked that the trail be removed. That was the response. I did not ask them about the deck over the detention basin, because I didn't know that that was

1

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1.

22

23

24

25

an issue. We can certainly talk to them about it, but in your reading, was that part of it? I don't know how to respond to Rich about the deck.

MR. GALLAGHER: You can consider it part of the walking trail arguably. Even though it's an observation platform, it is part of the walking trail. But if you are concerned about the feelings of the residents of Creekside or their opinion in that regard, I don't know what to tell It's a little late to be discussing that. Arguably, I think it is part of the walking trail itself.

I wish I had known MR. NEARY: I would have made it a little clearer in the letter that we were talking about the trail and the deck over the detention basin.

MR. BIAOCCO: Is it considered part of the base, the deck?

It's in the THE PRESIDENT: township right-of-way; is that correct?

MS. CATANIA: Actually, the basin itself is what is considered open space number 7 which is owned and maintained by the Homeowners Association. The background that I can give you is

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

during the original PRD hearings, there were quite a few residents here at the hearings that were requesting that there be a trail through the open space area because of the flora and the fauna that is back there.

It is township open space where the trail is currently. The observation deck, I do believe -- and I did not research it because I wasn't aware of it -- was something that was required under the DEP approvals for the project. I am not a hundred percent certain of that.

THE PRESIDENT: That is a whole different discussion.

MS. CATANIA: And it is not something that I reviewed because it wasn't anything that I thought was coming up this evening.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Neary and Mr. Kirk, you had discussions with all of the residents. I am saying you had open meetings about this and has this ever come up?

MR. NEARY: No. I mean, not to my knowledge. I don't recall this ever being raised, this issue being raised.

MR. KIRK: Okay, let me clarify

_ _

one thing. My opinion about this is the deck was installed as part of the walking trail at the same time. It was an oversight on our part or your part or somebody else's part for not specifically pointing out that one specific feature of the walking trail. I apologize for that on our part. However, it was installed as part of the walking trail at the same time it was put on the plan.

This is something that the residents had requested be removed, the walking trail in general, so I would assume that that would extend to the observation deck which is part of the walking trail. It wasn't specifically pointed out to the contrary, so I would still think that that would apply.

MR. GALLAGHER: You were out,
Mr. Neary, but Lisa Catania mentioned something about
the deck being required as part of the DEP approval
process, which is something totally different.

MS. CATANIA: And I don't know that. I have not gone through the DEP information.

I do know that there was talk about fox. There was talk about the deer and how much open space was going to be there, and I know that it was placed on the

2.4

plan as part of the DEP information, because there is wetlands there as well, and it was part of the mitigation plan. Whether or not it's something that DEP actually required, I don't know. I don't recall.

MR. GALLAGHER: It was in the year 2000.

MS. CATANIA: It was in the year 2000. I am getting older. I don't recall it.

MR. KIRK: Did DEP require it as part of the walking trail or did DEP require it as part of the pond and the wildlife around there?

MS. CATANIA: I don't recall all of the facts of it. I didn't do any of the research. There are a lot of PRD hearing notes that I do have back in my office. I believe I still have them.

THE PRESIDENT: I would say, in my opinion, unless Mr. Neary has a contrary thought, for the betterment of progress we will take note of your concern. We are not making a decision tonight. We will look into the records and see how this plays into it, whether it's part of the DEP stuff or just part of the trail.

It is a little troubling that we are discussing this tonight when we thought we were

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

just talking about a trail, and I don't know that we are going to hear from other residents who are against it, but we have had correspondence in the last couple of days, e-mails and letters, to continue our support to remove the trail.

I would say for the record that we accept Mr. Kirk's statements and clarify it before we make a decision.

MR. NEARY: Agreed.

MR. KIRK: I appreciate that.

Thank you. One last question. Do you have a schedule for this work, any anticipated schedule for this work to be done?

MR. NEARY: We can't until we pass the Ordinance. I can't tell you I am going to pull it out by a date if I do not know that we are going to pass the Ordinance.

MR. KIRK: Is it scheduled for the coming year or the following year?

MR. NEARY: It would be next year at some point because, as you know, we declared the builder in default and we have a time limit on that. That is why we wanted to get this presented and adopted hopefully tonight, so that we could give it

3

4

5

appreciate it.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to them and say this has to be done as part of the punch list.

> MR. KIRK: Okay, thank you. Ι

THE PRESIDENT: Any other questions or comments from any other residents? MR. URBAN: Good evening. My name is George Urban, 4000 Village Way at Creekside Village.

First of all, I want to thank the board for taking the time and energy to put together the Ordinance that was given and, specifically, based on the costs by keeping it, the cost that would be associated with keeping this would be really horrendous to the whole community, everybody in Upper Chichester Township. So, again, thank you for that.

One of the comments that I did make in a response was that the observation deck would be costly maintenance and replacement item as well, and that it can be removed rather than repaired or replaced at some future time.

I wasn't thinking when I put that together to incorporate it with the removal of the walking trail per se. But with what was said a

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

moment ago, I think it would be worthwhile to incorporate that in at the same time rather than have it out there, and eventually a decision has to be made to repair it or replace it. And if any of that kind of work is done, it's going to be very, very costly.

Again, I want to thank the board for everything you have done in expediting this and getting this taken care of as quickly as it has been. I appreciate it.

THE PRESIDENT: Any other residents who have any questions or comments?

MR. CONNOR: Good evening. Μy name is Jim Connor. I live at 4000 Village Way. I want to reiterate what Rich and George said with regard to the deck. At the time when the trail came to be built, I know at the beginning phase, the planning stage, everybody seemed to want the trail. But I know at the time all that construction work was done there, nobody that I knew within the development -- I can't say nobody -- but the majority of people in the development didn't want that trail built for the same reasons that Rich appointed out. They didn't want it to be a gathering place,

although, as Joe said, it is still nice to have access back there.

So I would agree with them that the deck should be removed. If you go down there at any time, my unit overlooks it. Thank you, Rich, for calling it a pond. There has been some argument over there whether to call it a pond. I bought there because it's a very nice thing to look at. I spent a good deal of time out there myself working on it.

I go down there and make sure it is completely clear. I take a big rake and scrape algae out of there during the summer. I also scrape out beer bottles and wine bottles and so forth and so on. So if you put that deck there, if I am 16 or 17, it looks like a good place to party for me. So I would urge the board to take the deck into consideration as part of the trail removal.

Also, is everybody aware of how far that trail runs? I am assuming there is a good deal of work there. Is there a bond that covers the removal of the trail?

MR. NEARY: There is a bond that exists to address punch list items that have not been completed.

Correct me if I am wrong, either that trail is on the list and either has to be corrected or put back into its original state or better state, but that's on the punch list.

MR. CONNOR: So when the trail is removed, I am assuming that some type of arrangement will be made to either seed it or do whatever it takes to provide a certain amount of aesthetic appeal rather than leave an open dirt track back there?

MR. NEARY: I would imagine we could put seeding. Even the township can put seeding back there if it is in the open area.

MS. CATANIA: The reality is that the municipality's planning security agreement, there are line items in that agreement, and the trail was in that. As part of my punch list, I had to verify whether things were completed or they had deficiencies and needed to be fixed.

As part of this, if the trail is going to be removed, which I assume it is, then I would put in there that the trail would have to be removed and the area properly restored, which means it would get soil because it obviously has a depth to it. Also, it would have to be seeded. That would be

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 my scope.

3

Remember that this entire punch list is not the township workforce that is doing the work. It's actually a contractor that is going to be hired by the bonding company. So at this point we don't have any indication that they won't do it, but once they review the punch list, then we will know how far they are going with the punch list.

MR. CONNOR: I would assume there is some kind of township oversight on that though.

MS. CATANIA: I would hope so.

MR. CONNOR: Thank you very much.

MR. NEARY: The engineer would

inspect the work to see if it meets standards.

MR. CONNOR: Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: Any other

citizens who have questions or comments?

MR. SULLIVAN: My name is Bill Sullivan. I am actually the chairperson of the landscape committee over at Creekside, so we take an interest in the deck. Just for your information, there has been some damage to that deck already. if it remains sitting there, more could be done, just so you know that it's not in the same condition that

```
1
                        Creekside Village
     it was put up. There have been some rails that have
2
     been kicked out. That's all.
3
                          THE PRESIDENT: Thank you, sir.
4
     Any other questions or comments?
5
                          (No response).
6
                          THE PRESIDENT: Any questions or
 7
     comments from the board?
8
                          (No response).
 9
                          THE PRESIDENT: This hearing is
10
11
     closed. Thank you.
                          (At 6:55 p.m., proceedings were
12
     concluded.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me in the above cause and that this is a correct transcript of the same.

THOMAS P. CORCORAN,

Court Reporter

		·	
		·	